



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,879	12/31/2003	Michael E. Browne	POU920030116US1	8880
46369	7590	03/28/2008	EXAMINER	
HESLIN ROTHENBERG FARLEY & MESITI P.C. 5 COLUMBIA CIRCLE ALBANY, NY 12203			CABUCOS, MARIE G	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2163		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		03/28/2008	PAPER	

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/749,879	BROWNE ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	MARIE ANTOINETTE CABUCOS	2163

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 February 2008.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 8-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 8-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .                                    |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .                        |

**DETAILED ACTION*****Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 8-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Degenaro et al (US Patent no. 6,654,766).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention “by another,” or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

3. Regarding claims 8 and 15, Degenaro discloses in figure 1 a request management system comprising a computer environment (100); means for receiving by a request manager (110, 120, 130) of the computer environment a first request (col. 2, lines 1-25, update the cache) from a requester (client), the first request associated with meta data (col. 2, lines 1-25, start\_times and end

times of objects), said meta data corresponding to data (col. 2, lines 1-25, cached subset of objects) maintained separately from the meta data by a data object manager (140) of a storage subsystem of the computer environment; means, responsive to receipt of the first request at the request manager, for automatically informing, by the request manager, the data object manager of an anticipated, second request (col. 5, lines 44-58, queries to a server that is remote from the client) to be subsequently received by the data object manager from the requester to enable the data object manager to prepare for the anticipated second request, wherein the anticipated, second request to be received by the data object manager is at least partially ascertainable from meta data (col. 3, lines 48-64) associated with the first request received by the request manager; and wherein the data object manager prepares for the anticipated, second request by adjusting utilization of a cache of the computer environment based on information derived from the meta data associated with first request by the request manager before the anticipated, second request is received at the data object manager from the requester (col. 8, lines 47-67).

**4.** Regarding claims 9, 13, 16 and 20, Degenaro discloses in figure 1 the system of claim 8, wherein the data object manager prepares for the anticipated, second request, by at least one of pre-fetching into the cache data required by the anticipated, second request, thereby reducing data access latency, or moving data from the cache to a disk of the storage subsystem, thereby facilitating receipt of data at the cache (col. 6, lines 20-49, initialization module); and wherein said pre-fetching comprises means for pre-fetching one or more data

blocks from one or more storage media of the data storage subsystem whereby the data blocks are stored in the cache, the data blocks comprising at least some of the data (col. 5, lines 26-41).

5. Regarding claims 10, 11, 17 and 18, Degenaro discloses in figure 1 the system of claim 8, wherein said preparing comprises means for managing contents of a cache in a data storage subsystem, wherein the data object manager prepares for the anticipated, second request by noting that data associated with the anticipated, second request is not to be cached (col. 5, lines 30-41, other storage medium); and wherein the data object manager prepares for the anticipated second request by managing the requester's access to the data (col. 6, lines 50-62, configuration module).

6. Regarding claims 12 and 19, Degenaro discloses in figure 1 the system of claim 8, further comprising means for sending, by the request manager, a reply to the requester in response to the first request (144, 145, 146) substantially simultaneously with informing the data object manager of the anticipated, second request (120, 130) to be received; and means for thereafter receiving, by the data object manager, the second request from the requester, wherein said preparing by the data object manager begins before the data object manager receives the anticipated, second request (col. 6, line 63 to col. 7, line 28).

7. Regarding claim 14, Degenaro discloses in figure 1 the system of claim 8, wherein the preparing by the data object manager comprises means for releasing storage locations of the cache whereby the storage locations become available

for storing other data (col. 2, lines 1-17, removing objects that have expired and adding objects having elapsed).

### ***Response to Amendment***

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Pertinent Prior Art***

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Prior art of record to Bendert et al (US Patent no. 5,761,678) discloses creation of clone storage area with identification of base storage area and deferred cloning of metadata.

Prior art of record to Gladney et al (US Patent no. 6,044,373) discloses an object-oriented access control method and system for military and commercial file systems.

Prior art of record to Paul Mundy (US Patent no. 6,256,645) discloses a storage manager which sets the size of an initial-free area assigned to a requesting application according to statistical data.

***Inquiry***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARIE ANTOINETTE CABUCOS whose telephone number is (571)272-8582. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don K. Wong can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Marie Antoinette Cabucos  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2163

/don wong/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2163